

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

CHADWICK L. MILLER, <i>Pro Se</i> ,)	Case No. 1: 20 CV 48
)	
Plaintiff)	
)	JUDGE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.
v.)	
)	
FIDELITY INVESTMENTS,)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>
Defendant)	<u>AND ORDER</u>

Pro se Plaintiff Chadwick L. Miller has filed a Complaint in this action against Fidelity Investments. (Doc. No. 1.) He has filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 2.)

That motion is denied. Plaintiff has abused the privilege of proceeding *in forma pauperis* and is now barred from proceeding *in forma pauperis*. See *Miller v. Holmes Cty. Sheriff Department*, No. 5: 20 CV 225 (N.D. Ohio Mar. 23, 2020); *Miller v. Mt. Eaton Police Department*, No. 5: 19 CV 2618 (N.D. Ohio Mr. 23, 2020); *Miller v. U.S. Marshal Service*, No. 5: 19 CV 2648 (N.D. Ohio Mar. 13, 2020).

Further, there is no reason to allow Plaintiff the opportunity to proceed with this case by paying the filing fee, as his Complaint on its face is “totally implausible, attenuated, unsubstantial, frivolous, [and] devoid of merit” and therefore subject to dismissal for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction under *Apple v. Glenn*, 183 F.3d 477, 479 (6th Cir. 1999).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to the

Court's authority established in *Apple v. Glenn*. The court further certifies that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Solomon Oliver, Jr.
SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: March 24, 2020